

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/642,278

Applicant(s)

ERBAN, CHRISTOF

Examiner

Alan Diamond

Art Unit

1753

All Participants:

(1) Alan Diamond.

(2) Richard Treanor.

Status of Application: final rejection

(3) Sam Ha (assistant for Mr. Treanor).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2004

Time: 1:25 p.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend claims 1 and 20 as is set forth in the Examiner's Amendment. In claim 20, the word "substantially" was agreed to be changed to "approximately". It was noted that the incident light on the solar cells in said claim 20 does not have to be exactly identical in order for the evaluation circuit to switch the switching device into a quiescent state.